arXiv:1401.4049v4 [math.CA] 15 Jul 2014

On some strengthening of the global implicit function theorem with an application to a Cauchy problem for an integro-differential Volterra system

Dariusz Idczak
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Lodz
Banacha 22, 90-238 Lodz, Poland
idczak@math.uni.lodz.pl

Abstract

In the paper, we improve our earlier results concerning the existence, uniqueness and differentiability of a global implicit function. Some application to a Cauchy problem for an integro-differential Volterra system of nonconvolution type, is given.

1 Introduction

In paper [3], the conditions for a C^1-mapping

$$f : X \to H$$

where X is a real Banach space, H - real Hilbert space, to be the diffeomorphism. i.e. conditions guarantying that for any $y \in H$ there exists a unique solution $x_y \in X$ of the equation

$$f(x) = y$$

and the mapping

$$H \ni y \longmapsto x_y \in X$$

is continuously differentiable, are given. These conditions are the following:

- the Frechet differential $f'(x) : X \to H$ is bijective, for any $x \in X$,
- the functional
$$\varphi : X \ni x \longmapsto (1/2) \|f(x) - y\|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$$
satisfies Palais-Smale condition, for any $y \in H$.

The method used in the proof is based on the Mountain Pass Theorem due to Ambrosetti and Rabinovitz ([1]). The obtained result is applied to the Cauchy problem for an integro-differential Volterra system

$$x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau))d\tau = y(t), \ t \in [0, 1] \text{ a.e.}$$
$$x(0) = 0$$

where $y \in L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in AC^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

In a paper [2] we extend these results to the case of the equation

$$F(x, y) = 0$$

where

$$F : X \times Y \to H$$

and X, Y are real Banach spaces, H - a real Hilbert space. More precisely, we formulate sufficient conditions for the existence, uniqueness and continuous differentiability of a global implicit function $y \longmapsto x_y$ determined by the above equation. The obtained global implicit function theorem is applied to the Cauchy problem

$$x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))d\tau = v(t), \ t \in J \text{ a.e.},$$
$$x(0) = 0$$

where u, $v \in L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in AC^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the presented paper, we consider separately

- the existence of a global implicit function
- its uniqueness
- its continuous differentiability

We show that the assumptions can be slightly weakened with relation to the mentioned global implicit function theorem. More precisely, in the theorem on the existence of a global implicit function, we replace continuous differentiability of F in Frechet sense, with respect to (x, y), by differentiability of F in Gateaux sense, with respect to x. We also replace bijectivity of differentials $F_x(x, y)$ by the condition $F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ (cf. also Remark 4). In the theorem on the uniqueness of the global implicit function, we replace continuous differentiability of F with respect to (x, y) by its continuous differentiability with respect to x. Moreover, in theorems on the uniqueness and continuous differentiability of the global implicit function, bijectivity of $F_x(x, y)$ is assumed only for points (x, y) satisfying equality $F(x, y) = 0$ and for the remaining points (x, y) one assumes that $F_x(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ (cf. also Remarks 8, 12). As in [3] and [2], we use a variational approach based on the Mountain Pass Theorem. We apply the obtained theorem to Cauchy problem

$$x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))d\tau = f(t, x(t), v(t)),\ t \in J = [0, 1] \text{ a.e.},$$
$$x(0) = 0$$

where $u \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $v \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^r)$, $x \in AC^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ (system of the above type is studied in [4]).

Our paper consists of two parts. In the first part, we derive three theorems: on the existence of a global implicit function, on the uniqueness of this function as well as on the continuous differentiability of it. The second part is devoted to some application. We study an integro-differential Cauchy problem for Volterra system of general - nonconvolution type ([4]) with two functional parameters u, v that are involved nonlinearly. Problem of such a type but with the term containing v replaced by v, was investigated in [2]. We obtain existence and uniqueness as well as the continuous differentiability of the mapping describing dependence of solutions on parameters. Differential of this mapping is given, too.

2 Existence of a global implicit function

Let X be a real Banach space and $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ - a functional differentiable in Gateaux sense. We say that I satisfies *Palais-Smale (PS) condition* if any sequence (x_m) satisfying conditions:

- $|I(x_m)| \leq M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $M > 0$,

- $I'(x_m) \longrightarrow 0$,

admits a convergent subsequence ($I'(x_m)$ denotes the Gateaux differential of I at x_m). A sequence (x_m) satisfying the above conditions is called the (PS) sequence.

A point $x^* \in X$ is called the *critical point* of I if $I'(x^*) = 0$. In such a case $I(x^*)$ is called the *critical value* of I.

In [5, Corollary 3.3] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a real Banach space. If $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semicontinuous, bounded below and differentiable in Gateaux sense functional satisfying (PS) condition, then there exists a critical point x^* of I.*

Using the above theorem we obtain

Theorem 2 *Let X be a real Banach space, Y - a nonempty set, H - a real Hilbert space. If $F : X \times Y \to H$ is differentiable with respect to $x \in X$ in Gateaux sense and*

- *$F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ for any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ($F_x(x, y)$ denotes the Gateaux differential of F at (x, y) with respect to x)*
- *the functional*
$$\varphi : X \ni x \longmapsto (1/2) \|F(x, y)\|^2 \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$
is lower semicontinuous and satisfies (PS) condition for any $y \in Y$,

then, for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x_y \in X$ such that $F(x_y, y) = 0$.

Proof. Let us fix a point $y \in Y$. Functional φ, being a superposition of the mapping $(1/2) \|\cdot\|^2$ differentiable in Frechet sense on H and the mapping $F(\cdot, y)$ differentiable in Gateaux sense on X, is differentiable in Gateaux sense on X and its Gateaux differential $\varphi'(x)$ at $x \in X$ is given by

$$\varphi'(x)h = \langle F(x, y), F_x(x, y)h\rangle$$

for $h \in X$. Moreover, φ is bounded below and, by assumption, lower semicontinuous and satisfies (PS) condition. So, by Theorem 1, there exists a point $x_y \in X$ such that

$$\langle F(x_y, y), F_x(x_y, y)h\rangle = 0$$

for $h \in X$. Since $F(x_y, y) \in F_x(x_y, y)X$, $F(x_y, y) = 0$. ■

Remark 3 *The assumption on lower semicontinuity of φ can be replaced by a more restrictive one but concerning directly F, namely - continuity of F with respect to x.*

Remark 4 *The assumption "$F(x,y) \in F_x(x,y)X$ for any $(x,y) \in X \times Y$" can be replaced by the following one "$F(x,y) \in F_x(x,y)X$ for any $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ such that $\varphi'(x) = 0$ with φ determined by y".*

Remark 5 *It is known (cf. [5, Corollary 3.4]) that if a functional $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ (X - a Banach space) is lower semicontinuous, bounded below, differentiable in Gateaux sense, has a bounded minimizing sequence and satisfies the weak (PS) condition (i.e. any bounded (PS) sequence has a convergent subsequence), then I has a critical point. So, (PS) condition in Theorem 2 can be replaced by the following one: φ has a bounded minimizing sequence and satisfies the weak (PS) condition.*

3 Uniqueness of a global implicit function

Let $d \neq 0$ be a point of X (a real Banach space). By W_d we denote the set

$$W_d = \{U \subset X;\ U \text{ is open, } 0 \in U \text{ and } d \notin \overline{U}\}.$$

We have ([1], [6])

Theorem 6 (Mountain Pass Theorem) *Let $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional which is continuously differentiable in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense, satisfies (PS) condition and $I(0) = 0$. If there exist constants ρ, $\alpha > 0$ such that $I\,|_{\partial B(0,\rho)} \geq \alpha$ and $I(e) \leq 0$ for some $e \in X \setminus \overline{B(0,\rho)}$, then*

$$b := \sup_{U \in W_e} \inf_{u \in \partial U} I(u)$$

is the critical value of I and $b \geq \alpha$ ([1]).

Using the above theorem we can prove

[1] It is known (cf. [**?**]) that if a mapping is continuously differentiable at a point in Gateaux sense then it is differentiable at this point in Frechet sense and both differentials coincide.

Theorem 7 *Let X be a real Banach space, Y - a nonempty set, H - a real Hilbert space. If $F : X \times Y \to H$ is continuously differentiable with respect to $x \in X$ in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense and*

- *$F_x(x, y) : X \to Y$ is bijective for any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $F(x, y) = 0$ and $F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ for the remaining $(x, y) \in X \times Y$*
- *the functional φ given by (1) satisfies (PS) condition for any $y \in Y$,*

then, for any $y \in Y$, there exists a unique $x_y \in X$ such that $F(x_y, y) = 0$.

Proof. Let us fix $y \in Y$. From Theorem 2 it follows that there exists a point $x_y \in X$ such that $F(x_y, y) = 0$. Let us suppose that there exist $x_1,\ x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, such that $F(x_1, y) = F(x_2, y) = 0$. Put $e = x_2 - x_1$ and

$$g(x) = F(x + x_1, y)$$

for $x \in X$. Of course,

$$g(x) = g'(0)x + o(x) = F'_x(x_1, y)x + o(x)$$

for $x \in X$, where $o(x)/\left\|x\right\|_X \to 0$ in H when $x \to 0$ in X. So,

$$\beta \left\|x\right\|_X \leq \left\|F'_x(x_1, y)x\right\|_H \leq \left\|g(x)\right\|_H + \left\|o(x)\right\|_H \leq \left\|g(x)\right\|_H + (1/2)\beta \left\|x\right\|_X$$

for sufficiently small $\left\|x\right\|_X$ and some $\beta > 0$ (existence of such an β follows from the bijectivity of $F'_x(x_1, y)$). Thus, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(1/2)\beta \left\|x\right\|_X \leq \left\|g(x)\right\|_H$$

for $x \in \overline{B(0, \rho)}$. Without loss of the generality one may assume that $\rho < \left\|e\right\|_X$. Put

$$\psi(x) = (1/2) \left\|g(x)\right\|_H^2 = (1/2) \left\|F(x + x_1, y)\right\|_H^2 = \varphi(x + x_1)$$

for $x \in X$. Of course, ψ is continuously differentiable on X in Gateaux sense and

$$\psi'(x) = \varphi'(x + x_1).$$

Consequently, since φ satisfies (PS) condition, ψ has this property, too. Moreover, $\psi(0) = \psi(e) = 0$, $e \notin \overline{B(0, \rho)}$ and $\psi(x) \geq \alpha$ for $x \in \partial B(0, \rho)$ with $\alpha = (1/8)\beta^2\rho^2 > 0$.

Thus, the Mountain Pass Theorem implies that $b = \sup\limits_{U \in W_e} \inf\limits_{x \in \partial U} \psi(x)$ is a critical value of ψ and $b \geq \alpha$, i.e. there exists a point $x^* \in X$ such that $\psi(x^*) = b > 0$ and

$$\psi'(x_*)h = \langle F(x^* + x_1, y), F_x(x^* + x_1, y)h \rangle = 0$$

for $h \in X$. The first condition means that $F(x^* + x_1, y) \neq 0$. The second one and relation $F(x^* + x_1, y) \in F'_x(x^* + x_1, y)X$ imply that $F(x^* + x_1, y) = 0$. The obtained contradiction completes the proof. ■

Remark 8 *The assumption "$F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ for the remaining $(x, y) \in X \times Y$" can be replaced by the following one "$F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ for the remaining $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $\varphi'(x) = 0$ with φ determined by y".*

When $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, (PS) condition imposed on φ can be replaced by the following (equivalent) one: "φ is coercive, i.e. $\varphi(x) \to \infty$ when $|x| \to \infty$". It follows from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 9 *If a functional $I : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is coercive, then it satisfies (PS) condition.*

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from the boundedness of relatively compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$. ■

Lemma 10 *If X is a real Banach space and a functional $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded below and satisfies (PS) condition, then it is coercive.*

Proof. Let us suppose that I is not coercive. So, there exists a sequence (x_n) such that $\|x_n\| \to \infty$ and the sequence $(I(x_n))$ is upper bounded. Of course, it is bounded below, too. Thus, $c := \liminf\limits_{\|x\| \to \infty} I(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and using [7, Corollar 2.7] ([2]) we obtain existence of a sequence (x_n) such that $I(x_n) \to c$, $I'(x_n) \to 0$ and $\|x_n\| \to \infty$. It contradicts (PS) condition. ■

[2] If $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded below and any sequence (x_n) such that

$$I(x_n) \to c := \liminf_{\|u\| \to \infty} I(x_n),\ I'(x_n) \to 0$$

is bounded, then I is coercive.

4 Global implicit function theorem

From Theorems 2, 7 and classical local implicit function theorem we immediately obtain the following global implicit function theorem.

Theorem 11 *Let X, Y be real Banach spaces, H - a real Hilbert space. If $F : X \times Y \to H$ is continuously differentiable in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense with respect to $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and*

- *differential $F_x(x, y) : X \to H$ is bijective for any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $F(x, y) = 0$ and $F(x, y) \in F_x(x, y)X$ for the remaining $(x, y) \in X \times Y$*
- *the functional φ given by (1) satisfies the (PS) condition for any $y \in Y$,*

then there exists a unique function $\lambda : Y \to X$ such that $F(\lambda(y), y) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$ and this function is continuously differentiable in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense on Y with differential $\lambda'(y)$ at y given by

$$\lambda'(y) = -[F_x(\lambda(y), y)]^{-1} \circ F_y(\lambda(y), y). \tag{2}$$

Proof. Of course, it is sufficient to put $\lambda(y) = x_y$ where x_y is a solution to $F(x, y) = 0$, given by Theorem 7. ■

Remark 12 *Remark 12 is applicable.*

5 An application

Let us consider the following control system

$$x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau), u(\tau))d\tau = f(t, x(t), v(t)),\ t \in J = [0, 1] \text{ a.e.}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Phi : P_\Delta \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ($P_\Delta = \{(t, \tau) \in J \times J; \tau \le t\}$), $f : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in AC_0^2 = AC_0^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{x : J \to \mathbb{R}^n;\ x$ is absolutely continuous, $x(0) = 0$, $x' \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)\}$, $u \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $v \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^r)$. On the functions Φ, f we assume that

- $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, x, u)$ is measurable on P_Δ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; $\Phi(t, \tau, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ for $(t, \tau) \in P_\Delta$ a.e.

· there exist constants $c,\ d > 0$ and functions $a,\ b \in L^2(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R}_0^+),\ \omega \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ such that

$$|\Phi(t,\tau,x,u)| \le a(t,\tau)\,|x| + b(t,\tau)\omega(|u|)$$

$$|\Phi_x(t,\tau,x,u)| \le c\omega(|x|) + d\omega(|u|),$$

$$|\Phi_u(t,\tau,x,u)| \le a(t,\tau)\omega(|x|) + b(t,\tau)\omega(|u|)$$

for $(t,\tau) \in P_\Delta$ a.e., $x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ u \in \mathbb{R}^m$

· $f(\cdot, x, u)$ is measurable on J for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ v \in \mathbb{R}^r$; $f(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^r$ for $t \in J$ a.e.

· there exist constants $c_f,\ d_f > 0$ and functions $a_f,\ b_f \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}_0^+),\ \varkappa \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ such that

$$|f(t,x,v)| \le a_f(t)\,|x| + b_f(t)\varkappa(|v|)$$

$$|f_x(t,x,v)| \le c_f\varkappa(|x|) + d_f\varkappa(|v|)$$

$$|f_v(t,x,v)| \le a_f(t)\varkappa(|x|) + b_f(t)\varkappa(|v|)$$

for $t \in J$ a.e., $x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ v \in \mathbb{R}^r$

· the inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})} + 2\Big(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t\,dt\Big)^{(1/2)}\big(1 + \|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\big) < \sqrt{2}/2$$

is satisfied.

We shall check that the mapping

$$F : AC_0^2 \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r) \to L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$F(x,u,v) = x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - f(t,x(t),v(t)),$$

satisfies assumptions of global implicit function theorem with $X = AC_0^2,\ Y = L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r),\ H = L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In a standard way, one can check that F is continuously differentiable in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense on $AC_0^2 \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)$ and the mappings

$$F_x(x,u,v) : AC_0^2 \to L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$F_x(x,u,v)h = h'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))h(\tau)d\tau - f_x(t,x(t),v(t))h(t)$$

$$F_{u,v}(x,u,v) : L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$F_{u,v}(x,u,v)(f,g) = \int_0^t \Phi_u(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))f(\tau)d\tau - f_v(t,x(t),v(t))g(t)$$

are the differentials of F in x and (u,v), respectively. From Appendix it follows that $F_x(x,u,v)$ is "one-one" and "onto".

Now, let us fix a function $(u,v) \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)$ and consider the functional

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : AC_0^2 \ni x &\longmapsto (1/2)\left\|F(x,u,v)\right\|^2 \\ &= (1/2)\int_0^1 \left| x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - f(t,x(t),v(t))\right|^2 \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that, for any $x \in AC_0^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi(x)| \geq (1/2)\left\|x\right\|^2_{AC_0^2} &+ \int_0^1 x'(t)\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau dt \\ &- \int_0^1 x'(t)f(t,x(t),u(t))dt - \int_0^1 f(t,x(t),v(t))\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau dt.\end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that

$$\left|\int_0^1 x'(t)\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau dt\right| \leq \int_0^1 |x'(t)|\,(\int_0^t (a(t,\tau)\,|x(\tau)| + b(t,\tau)\omega(|u(\tau)|))d\tau)dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 |x'(t)|\,((\int_0^t a^2(t,\tau)d\tau)^{(1/2)}(\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + A\int_0^t b(t,\tau)d\tau)dt$$

$$\leq (\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2}\,(\int_0^1 |x'(t)|^2\,dt)^{(1/2)}(\int_0^1 (\int_0^t a^2(t,\tau)d\tau)dt)^{(1/2)}$$

$$+ A(\int_0^1 |x'(t)|^2\,dt)^{(1/2)}(\int_0^1 (\int_0^t b(t,\tau)d\tau)^2 dt)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq (\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2}$$

where $A = \underset{t\in[0,1]}{ess\,\sup}\omega(|u(t)|)$. Also,

$$\int_0^1 |f(t,x(t),u(t))|^2\,dt \leq \int_0^1 (a_f(t)\,|x(t)| + b_f(t)\varkappa(|u(t)|))^2\,dt$$

$$\leq 2\int_0^1 \left((a_f(t))^2\,|x(t)|^2 + (b_f(t))^2(\varkappa(|u(t)|))^2\right)dt$$

$$\leq 2(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + B\,\|b_f\|^2_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)})$$

where $B = \underset{t\in[0,1]}{ess\,\sup}(\varkappa(|u(t)|))^2$, so,

$$\left|\int_0^1 x'(t)f(t,x(t),u(t))dt\right| \leq \|x\|_{AC_0^2}\,(\int_0^1 |f(t,x(t),u(t))|^2\,dt)^{(1/2)}$$

$$\leq \|x\|_{AC_0^2}\,(2(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + B\,\|b_f\|^2_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}))^{(1/2)}$$

$$\leq \|x\|_{AC_0^2}\,(\sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)})$$

Similarly,

$$\left|\int_0^1 f(t,x(t),v(t))\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau dt\right|$$
$$\leq (\int_0^1 |f(t,x(t),u(t))|^2\,dt)^{(1/2)}(\int_0^1 \left|\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau\right|^2 dt)^{(1/2)}$$
$$\leq (2(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + B\,\|b_f\|^2_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}))^{(1/2)}$$
$$\times (\int_0^1 ((\int_0^t a^2(t,\tau)d\tau)^{(1/2)}(\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + A(\int_0^t b^2(t,\tau)d\tau))^{(1/2)})^2 dt)^{(1/2)}$$
$$\leq (2(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + B\,\|b_f\|^2_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}))^{(1/2)}(\|a\|^2_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} + 2A^2\,\|b\|^2_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})})^{(1/2)}$$
$$\leq (\sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)})(\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2}A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}).$$

Finally,

$$|\varphi(x)| \geq (1/2)\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} - (\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2} - A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2}$$
$$- \|x\|_{AC_0^2}(\sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)})$$
$$-(\sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)})(\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2}A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})})$$
$$= ((1/2) - (\sqrt{2}/2)\,\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})} - \sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}$$
$$- \sqrt{2}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}\,\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})})\,\|x\|^2_{AC_0^2}$$
$$- (A + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}\,A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})} + 2A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}(\int_0^1 (a_f(t))^2 t dt)^{(1/2)}$$
$$+ \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\|a\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})})\,\|x\|_{AC_0^2} + \sqrt{2B}\,\|b_f\|_{L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\sqrt{2}A\,\|b\|_{L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R})}$$

for $x \in AC_0^2$. This means that φ is coercive.

In a standard way, we check that the differential $\varphi'(x)$ of φ at x is given by

$$\varphi'(x)h = \int_0^1 (x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - f(t,x(t),v(t)))$$
$$\times (h'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x(\tau),u(\tau))h(\tau)d\tau - f_x(t,x(t),v(t))h(t))dt$$

for $h \in AC_0^2$. Consequently, for any x_m, $x_0 \in AC_0^2$,

$$\varphi'(x_m)(x_m - x_0) = \int_0^1 (x_m'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - f(t,x_m(t),v(t)))$$
$$((x_m'(t) - x_0'(t)) + \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau) - x_0(\tau))d\tau$$
$$- f_x(t,x_m(t),v(t))(x_m(t) - x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\varphi'(x_0)(x_m - x_0) = \int_0^1 (x_0'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - f(t,x_0(t),v(t)))$$
$$((x_m'(t) - x_0'(t)) + \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau) - x_0(\tau))d\tau$$
$$- f_x(t,x_0(t),v(t))(x_m(t) - x_0(t)))dt$$

and

$$\varphi'(x_m)(x_m - x_0) - \varphi'(x_0)(x_m - x_0) = \|x_m - x_0\|_{AC_0^2}^2 + \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{14} \psi_i(x_m)$$

where

$$\psi_1(x_m) = \int_0^1 (\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau - \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau)((x_m'(t) - x_0'(t))dt$$

$$\psi_2(x_m) = \int_0^1 (f(t,x_0(t),u(t)) - f(t,x_m(t),u(t)))(x_m'(t) - x_0'(t))dt$$

$$\psi_3(x_m) = \int_0^1 x_m'(t) \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau) - x_0(\tau))d\tau dt$$

$$\psi_4(x_m) = \int_0^1 x_0'(t) \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau) - x_0(\tau))d\tau dt$$

$$\psi_5(x_m) = \int_0^1 (\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau)-x_0(\tau))d\tau)dt$$

$$\psi_6(x_m) = -\int_0^1 (\int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau)-x_0(\tau))d\tau)dt$$

$$\psi_7(x_m) = -\int_0^1 (f(t,x_m(t),v(t)) \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau)-x_0(\tau))d\tau)dt$$

$$\psi_8(x_m) = \int_0^1 (f(t,x_0(t),v(t)) \int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))(x_m(\tau)-x_0(\tau))d\tau)dt$$

$$\psi_9(x_m) = -\int_0^1 x_m'(t) f_x(t,x_m(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\psi_{10}(x_m) = -\int_0^1 x_0'(t) f_x(t,x_0(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\psi_{11}(x_m) = -\int_0^1 \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_m(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau f_x(t,x_m(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\psi_{12}(x_m) = \int_0^1 \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau),u(\tau))d\tau f_x(t,x_0(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\psi_{13}(x_m) = -\int_0^1 f(t,x_m(t),v(t)) f_x(t,x_m(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

$$\psi_{14}(x_m) = \int_0^1 f(t,x_0(t),v(t)) f_x(t,x_0(t),v(t))(x_m(t)-x_0(t)))dt$$

We shall show that φ satisfies (PS) condition. Indeed, if (x_m) is a (PS) sequence for φ, then the coercivity of φ implies its boundedness. Consequently, there exists a subsequence (x_{m_k}) which is weakly convergent in AC_0^2 to some x_0 (so, $x_{m_k} \rightrightarrows x_0$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ and $x_{m_k}' \rightharpoonup x_0'$ weakly in $L^2(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$).

First, we shall show that $\psi_i(x_{m_k}) \underset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ for $i = 1, ..., 14$.

Let us consider the first term $\psi_1(x_{m_k})$. From the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$\int_0^t (\Phi(t,\tau,x_{m_k}(\tau)) - \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau)))d\tau \underset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

for $t \in [0,1]$ a.e. Moreover (cf. (A_2)),

$$\left| \int_0^t (\Phi(t,\tau,x_{m_k}(\tau)) - \Phi(t,\tau,x_0(\tau)))d\tau \right|$$
$$\leq 2\int_0^t (a(t,\tau)\,|x_{m_k}(\tau)| + b(t,\tau)\omega(|u(\tau)|))d\tau \leq 2\int_0^t (a(t,\tau)M + b(t,\tau))d\tau$$

where $M > 0$ is such that

$$|x_{m_k}(\tau)| \leq M,\ \tau \in [0,1],\ k = 0,1,...$$

Since the function

$$[0,1] \ni t \longmapsto 2\textstyle\int_0^t (a(t,\tau)M + b(t,\tau)\omega(|u(\tau)|))d\tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

belongs to $L^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}^n)$, using once again the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we assert that

$$\int_0^{\cdot} (\Phi(\cdot,\tau,x_{m_k}(\tau)) - \Phi(\cdot,\tau,x_0(\tau)))d\tau \underset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

in $L^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently, $\psi_1(x_{m_k})$ as a scalar product in $L^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the functions $x'_{m_k}(\cdot) - x'_0(\cdot)$ and $\int_0^{\cdot}(\Phi(\cdot,\tau,x_{m_k}(\tau)) - \Phi(\cdot,\tau,x_0(\tau)))d\tau$ tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Similarly, using the growth condition on f we assert that $\psi_2(x_{m_k}) \to 0$. Convergence $\psi_i(x_{m_k}) \to 0$ for $i = 3,...,14$ follows from the uniform convergence $x_{m_k} \rightrightarrows x_0$.

Since $\varphi'(x_0)$ is linear and continuous functional on AC_0^2, convergence $\varphi'(x_0)(x_{m_k} - x_0) \to 0$ follows directly from the weak convergence $x_{m_k} \rightharpoonup x_0$ in AC_0^2. Convergence $\varphi'(x_{m_k})(x_{m_k} - x_0) \to 0$ follows from the estimation

$$|\varphi'(x_{m_k})(x_{m_k} - x_0)| \leq \|\varphi'(x_{m_k})\|_{\mathcal{L}(AC_0^2,\mathbb{R})}\,\|x_{m_k} - x_0\|_{AC_0^2},$$

boundedness of the sequence (x_{m_k}) and convergence $\varphi'(x_{m_k}) \to 0$.

So, all assumptions of the global implicit function theorem are satisfied. Consequently, for any $(u,v) \in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)$ there exists a unique solution $x_{u,v} \in AC_0^2$ of the equation (3) and the mapping

$$\lambda : L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r) \ni (u,v) \longmapsto x_{u,v} \in AC_0^2$$

is continuously differentiable in Gateaux (equivalently, in Frechet) sense on $L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m)\times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)$ and the differential $\lambda'(u,v)$ at a point $(u,v)\in L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m)\times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)$ is the following

$$\lambda'(u,v): L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^m)\times L^\infty(J,\mathbb{R}^r)\ni (f,g)\longmapsto z_{f,g}\in AC_0^2$$

where $z_{f,g}$ is such that

$$z'_{f,g}(t)+\int_0^t \Phi_x(t,\tau,x_{u,v}(\tau),u(\tau))z_{f,g}(\tau)d\tau - f_x(t,x_{u,v}(t),v(t))z_{f,g}(t)$$
$$= -\int_0^t \Phi_u(t,\tau,x_{u,v}(\tau),u(\tau))f(\tau)d\tau + f_v(t,x_{u,v}(t),v(t))g(t)$$

a.e. on J.

6 Appendix

Let us consider the following control system

$$x'(t)+\int_0^t \Psi(t,\tau,x(\tau))d\tau = g(t,x(t)),\ t\in J \text{ a.e.}, \tag{4}$$

where $\Psi: P_\Delta\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $g: J\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $x\in AC_0^2$. On the functions Ψ, g we assume that

- $\Psi(\cdot,\cdot,x)$ is measurable on P_Δ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and

  $$|\Psi(t,\tau,x_1)-\Psi(t,\tau,x_2)|\le M|x_1-x_2|$$

  for $(t,\tau)\in P_\Delta$ a.e., x_1, $x_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$, where $M>0$ is some constant
- $\Psi(\cdot,\cdot,0)\in L^2(P_\Delta,\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $g(\cdot,x)$ is measurable on J for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and

  $$|g(t,x_1)-g(t,x_2)|\le L|x_1-x_2|$$

  for $t\in J$ a.e., x_1, $x_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$, where $L>0$ is some constant
- $g(\cdot,0)\in L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)$

It is easy to see that the existence of a solution x to system (4) in the space AC_0^2 is equivalent to the existence of a solution l to system

$$l(t) + \int_0^t \Psi(t, \tau, \int_0^\tau l(s)ds)d\tau = g(t, \int_0^t l(\tau)d\tau),\ t \in J \text{ a.e.}, \tag{5}$$

in the space $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$; in such a case $x' = l$. To prove that the above system has a unique solution in $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we shall show that the operator

$$T : L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \ni l \longmapsto g(t, \int_0^t l(\tau)d\tau) - \int_0^t \Psi(t, \tau, \int_0^\tau l(s)ds)d\tau \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \tag{6}$$

is contracting.

Theorem 13 *There exists a unique fixed point of the operator T and, consequently, system (4) has a unique solution in AC_0^2.*

Proof. We shall show that there exists a positive integer k such that the operators

$$T_g : L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \ni l \longmapsto g(t, \int_0^t l(\tau)d\tau) \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$T_\Psi : L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \ni l \longmapsto \int_0^t \Psi(t, \tau, \int_0^\tau l(s)ds)d\tau \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

are contracting with a constants $\xi_1,\ \xi_2 \in (0, 1/2)$, respectively, if $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is considered with the Bielecki norm

$$\|l\|_k = (\int_0^1 e^{-kt} |l(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2},\ l \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Indeed, let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_g(l_1) - T_g(l_2)\|_k^2 \le \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |T_g(l_1) - T_g(l_2)|^2 dt \\
&\le L^2 \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \left| \int_0^t |l_1(\tau) - l_2(\tau)| d\tau \right|^2 dt \le L^2 \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \int_0^t |l_1(\tau) - l_2(\tau)|^2 d\tau dt \\
&= L^2(-\frac{1}{k} e^{-kt} \int_0^1 |l_1(\tau) - l_2(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |l_1(t) - l_2(t)|^2 dt) \le \frac{L^2}{k} \|l_1 - l_2\|_k^2
\end{aligned}$$

for $l_1,\, l_2 \in L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_\Psi(l_1)-T_\Psi(l_2)\|_k^2 &\le \int_0^1 e^{-kt}\,|T_\Psi(l_1)-T_\Psi(l_2)|^2\,dt\\ &\le \int_0^1 e^{-kt}\left|\int_0^t\left|\Psi(t,\tau,\int_0^\tau l_1(s)ds)-\Psi(t,\tau,\int_0^\tau l_2(s)ds)\right|d\tau\right|^2 dt\\ &\le M^2\int_0^1 e^{-kt}\left|\int_0^t\int_0^\tau |l_1(s)-l_2(s)|\,ds d\tau\right|^2 dt\\ &\le M^2\int_0^1 e^{-kt}\left|\int_0^t |l_1(\tau)-l_2(\tau)|\,d\tau\right|^2 dt \le \frac{M^2}{k}\,\|l_1-l_2\|_k^2\end{aligned}$$

for $l_1,\, l_2 \in L^2(J,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

So, to end the proof it is sufficient to choose k such that $\max\{\sqrt{\frac{L^2}{k}},\sqrt{\frac{M^2}{k}}\} < 1/2$. ■

Acknowledgement. The project was financed with funds of National Science Centre, granted on the basis of decision DEC-2011/01/B/ST7/03426.

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., 14 (1973), 349-381.

[2] D. Idczak, *A global implicit function theorem and its applications to functional equations*, accepted for publication in Discrete and Continuous Dynamic Systems, Series B.

[3] D. Idczak, A. Skowron, S. Walczak, *On the diffeomorphisms between Banach and Hilbert spaces*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 12 (2012), 89-100.

[4] V. Lakshmikantham, M. Rao, Theory of Integro-Differential Equations, Gordon and Brach Science Publisher, 1995.

[5] J. Mawhin, *Problemes de Dirichlet Variationnels Non Lineaires*, Les Presses de l'Universite de Montreal, 1987.

[6] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.

[7] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.